



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,025	01/28/2002	Hirofumi Ito	13888	2222
7590 07/05/2005			EXAMINER	
Dowell & Dov	vell, P.C.	VO, TUNG T		
Suite 309 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202			2613	
		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/056,025	ITO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tung Vo	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		I I
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,12-16 and 25-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• , ,	、 ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Tents have been received in Appropriate documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/05/2005</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see the remarks, filed 04/08/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-16 under 26-27 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Herrera (US 6,208,350 B1) and Mihara et al. (US 6,151,033).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 12-16, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrera (US 6,208,350).

Re claim 1, Herrera discloses an image apparatus which generates intermediate frames, B frames are intermediate frames, based on key frames (col. 14, lines 6-27, e.g. generating B frame, intermediate frame or bidirectional frame, using I frame(s) and P frame(s) which are considered as key or reference frames, MPEG standard, see also col. 2, lines 65-67) and corresponding point data (mapping textures, 110 of fig. 7) between the key frames (I frame and P frame of fig. 9), comprising

Application/Control Number: 10/056,025

Art Unit: 2613

a performance specifying unit (110 of fig. 7) which determines a processing performance of the apparatus, and

a resolution decision unit (114 of fig. 7) which determines resolution (raster operation) of the intermediate frames to be generates according to the processing performance determined by said performance specifying unit (col. 12, lines 43-46).

Re claim 2, Herrera further discloses generating intermediate frames by performing an interpolate computation (112 of fig. 7) on the key frames (I and P frames) at the determined resolution (106 of fig. 7).

Re claim 3, Herrera further discloses wherein the detecting the processing performance comprises determining if there is an optional graphic accelerator available for use by the apparatus (104 of fig. 6, set-up engine).

Re claim 4, Herrera further discloses the detecting the processing performance comprises determining a process of a PCU of the apparatus (PC 42 of figure 8 has sufficiently powerful enough (e.g., operating speed) to operate the process).

Re claim 5, Herrera further discloses said detecting the processing performance is carried out by the apparatus (frame rate, fps of accelerator 106 of fig. 7).

Re claim 6, Herrera further discloses wherein said detecting the processing performance comprises experimentally generating intermediate frames in the apparatus and determining the processing performance based thereon (B frame, 110 of fig. 7).

Re claims 12-16 and 25-27, see analysis in claims 1-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,025 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See the previous Office Action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tung Vo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613